# Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District Wastewater System Improvements

# Department of Natural Resources and Conservation RRG-12-1487

March 22, 2013

Grantee: Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District P.O. Box 225
West Yellowstone, MT 59758-0225
Telephone: (406) 539-0386

Grantee Consultant: Great West Engineering, Inc. P.O. Box 4817 Helena, MT 59604 Telephone: (406) 495-6189

#### **Project Funding**

Renewable Resource Grant: \$100,000
Treasure State Endowment Program Grant: \$720,000
Water Resources Development Act Allocation: \$255,000

SRF (A) Loan: \$166,200 SRF (B) Loan: \$498,800 SRF (C) Loan: \$218,000

Total Project Cost: \$1,958,000

Prepared by



#### 1. Introduction:

Describe the project history, project location and the purpose of the project.

The Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District (the District) is located on Hebgen Lake approximately 10 miles northwest of West Yellowstone. The District's wastewater system consists of a single aerated lagoon followed by three infiltration/percolation (IP) cells. Wastewater is collected by a gravity collection system and delivered to a wet well, submersible pump lift station where the wastewater is then pumped to the aerated lagoon. After treatment in the pond, effluent is discharged to the infiltration/percolation cell. The original approval for this system appears to have occurred in 1974 and consisted of a package activated sludge process with disposal to infiltration cells. Sometime later, possibly in 1975, this approval was amended to utilize an aerated pond instead of a package plant.

On December 8, 2003, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issued Gallatin County (the predecessor of the District who operated the system as RID 322) a Violation Letter, informing the County that the lagoon liner appeared to be leaking and that nearby monitoring wells exceeded the water quality standard for nitrate a violation of the Montana Water Quality Act. Gallatin County responded with a report assessing the condition of the wastewater system as compiled by Morrison-Maierle, the engineer for the RID and the original design engineer of the system. An application for a discharge permit was prepared, with the plan to bring the lagoons up to current standards. The discharge permit application was submitted to DEQ in December 2003 and the facility system report was completed in January 2004.

The discharge permit was not approved because the County could not adequately demonstrate that lagoon rehabilitation followed by discharge by IP cells to groundwater would comply with Montana's Water Quality Standards. DEQ sent another violation letter notice on May 31, 2005 notifying Gallatin County that the system was still out of compliance and demanding that the County take corrective action. Gallatin County responded by noticing and then hiring Great West Engineering to develop a Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) and a preferred alternative to bring the system into compliance. A Notice of Violation and Administrative Order on Consent (AOC #1) was issued DEQ to Gallatin County in November 2005 requiring the system be in compliance by 2008.

The PER was completed in 2006 and submitted to the Treasure State Endowment Program (TSEP) for review. The preferred alternative was to pursue the siting, permitting and construction of a facultative lagoon with spray irrigation disposal of effluent. The legislature approved the use of TSEP grant funds for the design and construction of the facility in 2007 and adjoining landowners were approached about easements or sale of land for spray irrigation. In 2007, local residents petitioned and then voted for the formation of the water and sewer district. The District began conducting due diligence to determine if it could successfully upgrade the system to compliance and then initiated negotiations with DEQ regarding the AOC and the Owner's Association, and Gallatin County to transfer property, assets, responsibilities, and liabilities for the operation of the water and wastewater facilities.

After holding a series of meetings and discussions, it became clear that neighboring landowners, including the United States Forest Service, were not interested in selling land or easements for the treatment and spray irrigation site. Other alternatives were evaluated, including pumping effluent to a remote location several miles away for disposal, and pumping effluent to the Town of West Yellowstone for treatment and disposal. These alternatives were found to be not feasible or

prohibitively expensive. Then, the preferred alternative became the mechanical treatment plant (sequencing batch reactor, SBR) with associated high costs for O&M.

It became apparent that the cost and operational requirements of the SBR system were prohibitive and that the original compliance schedule was not feasible, therefore, the District held meetings with DEQ in October 2008 and April 2009. Discussions at these meetings resulted in three key decisions that allowed the development of the present treatment alternatives. These decisions included:

- 1. The District could consider a phased system instead of constructing to full-buildout.
- 2. The District could use actual wastewater flow data that accounts for occupancy, to develop the design flow criteria.
- 3. The District could use ground-water flow and nitrate concentration data to evaluate the ground-water conditions as required for a discharge permit application.

These decisions enabled the District to consider other treatment alternatives that are less expensive and easier to operate than the SBR. DEQ also agreed to revise the AOC if the District would take ownership and responsibility for the system.

In July 2009, Gallatin County and the Owner's Association officially transferred the assets and operation of the water and wastewater systems to the District. The District also successfully negotiated a new compliance order with DEQ. The original TSEP grant award was declined, and plans were made to prepare another PER and funding applications to design and construct a wastewater treatment facility that will bring the District's system into compliance with the terms of the AOC and water quality standards.

The purpose of the wastewater system improvements project is to bring the District's wastewater system into compliance with the Water Quality Act. If continued development were allowed without improvements to the system, the groundwater aquifer supplying water to the District's public water supply system could become polluted. Replacing the force-main and lift station will bring increased reliability to the collection system and significantly reduce the potential for sewage discharges and human exposure to raw wastewater. Treatment of the wastewater by a Level 2 system and the discharge of effluent in conformance with a ground-water discharge permit will protect the quality of underlying groundwater, eliminate health hazards, and bring the system into compliance with Montana water quality standards. Correcting the District's wastewater treatment problem will also reduce uncertainty and protect property values.

## 2. Discussion and Results:

A. Document that the project goals, objectives and tasks identified in the contract agreement were completed:

To address the above listed deficiencies, the following scope of work was described as the objective of the Renewable Resource Grant awarded to the District by the Montana Legislature.

- Replace the existing system with a level 2 treatment system and drainfield
- · Construct a new lift station
- Remove and dispose of sludge from the existing lagoon

The improvements that were completed by Edstrom Construction on November 27, 2012 include the new lift station, along with 482 feet of 4" HDPE sewer force main, two 48-inch manholes, 60 feet of 10-inch gravity sewer main, and other ancillary improvements.

A fully executed copy of the Certificate of Substantial Completion has been submitted with this report.

B. Summarize any problems encountered and solutions adopted. What would you do differently?

The problems encountered during the development of the project were minor and were essentially limited high ground water and the size of the pumps installed in the lift station. The District worked with contractor and the pump manufacturer to develop a solution, which was to install longer impellers on the pumps. The problem was successful addressed and the lift station is operating efficiently.

#### 3. Public Benefits:

List the anticipated overall public benefits of the project as stated in the grant application. Were these benefits realized? If not, explain why.

The public benefits listed in the application included:

- The replacement of the aerated lagoon with a modern treatment facility and the old lift station will result in better treatment of wastewater and will reduce the risk of equipment failure that may result in sewage backup and the direct exposure of sewage to humans.
- It will improve and protect both surface water (Hebgen Lake) and groundwater quality by eliminating pollution.
- Recreation, agriculture, wildlife, and human health will benefit from the elimination of pollution.
- In addition to fishery improvements, recreation is also enhanced by protecting and improving wildlife habitat, which improves hunting, bird watching, and other recreational opportunities.
- The project will encourage community growth and economic development and allow the District to come into compliance with regulatory requirements.

The construction of the packaged lift station and force main significantly reduces the risk of sewage backup and the direct exposure of humans to untreated sewage.

# 4. Grant Administration & Project Costs

A. Budget: Include a summary of how the monies were spent by budget category and source of funding. Was the project completed according to budget? Explain any cost overruns or savings. Discuss any unbudgeted expenses that arose over the course of the project.

The original construction budget for the installation of the lift station and force main was \$204,456.00. The final construction budget amount is \$208,257.00. The District approved two change orders that have resulted in a net change of \$3,801.60.

A copy of the current Status of Funds and Invoice Tracking spreadsheets are attached to the fourth draw from the DNRC grant that was submitted with this report.

B. Identify the matching funds that were to be spent according to the Grant Agreement. Document that these funds were spent. If not all matching funds were spent, explain why.

The date the project was determined to be substantially complete by the Project Engineer was September 28, 2010 and 89.4% of the project's matching funds have been disbursed.

The original Summary of Matching Funds found in Attachment B of the grant agreement included the following:

Total Cost of Project	\$1,340	,000.00
Project Sponsor	\$	0.00
SRF Loan	\$620	0,000.00
Treasure State Endowment Program Grant	\$720	0,000.00

The following is the project's actual Summary of Matching Funds as of March 22, 2013:

Treasure State Endowment Program Grant	\$146,705.13
SRF Loan	\$ 0.00
Water Resources Development Act	\$255,000.00
<b>Total Cost of Project</b>	\$401,705.13

The project budget has a balance of \$1,456,294.87, which includes the entire SRF loan and \$573,294.87 in the TSEP grant. These funds will be used by the District to complete the construction of the District's new wastewater

# 5. Project Completion and Certification

- A. Project Sponsor's Certificate of Compliance Attached
- B. Engineer's Statement of Final Completion (if applicable) Attached

FINAL REPORT

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Project Sponsor: Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District

Name of Project: Hebgen Lake Wastewater System Improvements Project

I, the undersigned, being duly qualified, respectfully, of the Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District, in the County of Gallatin, State of Montana, do hereby certify that the above-named project is in full compliance with all of the covenants and conditions set forth in Grant Agreement Number RRG-12-1487 between the Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District and the State of Montana, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation.

District President Myron Moore

Authorized Signature

## **FINAL REPORT**

#### STATEMENT OF COMPLETION

Project Sponsor: Hebgen Lake Estates County Water and Sewer District

Name of Project: Hebgen Lake Estates Wastewater System Improvements

I, <u>Todd Kuxhaus</u>, a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Montana, license number <u>14539PE</u>, do hereby state that the above-named project was completed according to the approved plans and specifications. I further state that the record ("as-built") drawings for this project are a true and accurate representation of the completed construction.

Todd Kuxhaus	
(Name)	P.E. Number 14539PE
Total lah	3/22/2013
(Signature)	Date

Great West Engineering, Inc. PO Box 4817 Helena, MT 59604

# Certificate of Substantial Completion

	ee Main Improvements	
Owner: Hebgen Lake Estates	County Water and Sewer District	Owner's Contract No.:
Contract: Base Bid	•	Engineer's Project No.: 1-08132
This tentative Certificate of All Work under the Contr	Substantial Completion applies to ract Documents:	o:  ng specified portions of the Work:
MAIN ESCHAMAN THE THAT WAS SETTING.		•
· ·	November 16, 2012	
	Date of Substantial Complet	ion
commencement of applicable  A tentative list of items to	warranties required by the Contract be completed or corrected is attac	by declared and is also the date of the Documents, except as stated below.
Contractor to complete all W  The responsibilities between	include any items on such list doork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  Not Amendo	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  The Documents of the Documents of the Contract Documents except a second of the Contract Documents excep
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities between heat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list doork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  Not Amendo	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Documents.  Documents.  Documents arity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except a
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities between heat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list doork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  Not Amendo	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Documents.  Documents.  Documents arity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except a
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities betwee heat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities Owner's Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list dork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  Not Amendo illities:	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Documents.  Documents.  Documents arity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except a
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities between theat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities Owner's Amended Responsibilities Contractor's Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list dork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  Not Amendo illities:	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Trity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except and
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities between theat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities Owner's Amended Responsibilities Contractor's Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list dork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  s   Not Amendo illities:	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Trity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except and
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities between theat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities Owner's Amended Responsibilities Contractor's Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list dork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  s   Not Amendo illities:	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Trity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except and
Contractor to complete all W The responsibilities between theat, utilities, insurance and amended as follows:  Amended Responsibilities Owner's Amended Responsibilities Contractor's Amended Responsibilities	include any items on such list dork in accordance with the Contract  n Owner and Contractor for secul warranties shall be as provided  s   Not Amendo illities:	oes not alter the responsibility of the Documents.  Trity, operation, safety, maintenance in the Contract Documents except and

Prepared by the Engineers Joint Contract Documents Committee and endorsed by the Construction Specifications Institute.

Page I of

The following documents are attached to and	made part of this Cert	incate:	
¥ 100			
•			
This Certificate does not constitute an accepta Documents nor is it a release of Contractor's of Contract Documents.	ance of Work not in ac obligation to complete	ecordance with the Work in a	the Contract accordance with the
Allth Andreson  Brochted by Engineer	3/8/13 Date		
Accepted by Contractor	3/8/13 Date	4	
Accepted by Owner	3/11/13 Date		

#### HEBGEN LAKE ESTATES

## UNIFORM STATUS OF FUNDS SPREADSHEET

UNIFORM STATUS OF FUNDS SPRE	ADQUEET E	np.		Hebgen Lake Est	ates Wastew	ater Improveme	onte				CONTRACTOR OF STREET					the same	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		United the last the l						3/8/2013		ASSESSED AND
	Funding Source: TSEP Grant (MT-TSEP-CG-10-497)						rant (RRG-12-1487)	Funding Source: SRF (A) Loan Forgiveness				Funding Source: SRF (B) Loan Funding Source: SRF (C) Loan						Funding Source	e: WRDA V		Total Budget						
DMINISTRATIVE/ FINANCIAL	Budgeted	Previously	/ Amount of	Balance	Budgeted	Previously	Amount of Draw	Balance	Budgeted	Previously	Amount of			Previously	isly Amount of			Previously	Amount of		Budgeted	Previously Expended	Amount of Draw	Balance Remaining	Budgeted	Expended	Balar
OSTS:	\$ 2,000.00	Expended	Diaw	\$ 2,000.00	Budgeted	Lxperided	Amount of Draw	e .	Daugetea	LAPONOCO	T Diam	\$ .				\$ .	IS TO THE PARTY OF	A LIBERATES		\$ .			MODELLE LESSO	\$ .	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2
TOOTHTOL GOODS	\$ 2,000.00			s 1.417.00							TO THE PARTY OF	\$ .				\$ .	Marillan result			\$ .				\$ .	\$ 1,417.00		\$ 1,
1100 00010	\$ 23,000.00	6 40 605 4		\$ 10.304.60		\$ 6.385.56	\$ 330.75					s .	\$ 5,500.0	0	NAME OF THE OWNER, OWNER, OWNER, OWNER, OWNER, OWNER,	\$ 5,500.00		5 10 00 dec		\$ .	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00		\$ .		\$ 25,411.71	
				\$ 717.20	4 11 12 12	\$ 6,365,56	3 330.70	9				s .	Total Inc.	THE PERSON NAMED IN	ENTERIOR IN	s -				\$ .				\$ .	\$ 1,500.00		\$
	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 782.8	0	\$ 117.20				9				s .	\$ 4,000.0	0	LA COMPANS	\$ 4,000.00				\$ -				\$ .	\$ 4,000.00	-	\$ 4.0
udit Fees	\$ 1,000.00			\$ 1,000.00				•		THE RESERVE		s .	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	THE STATE OF THE S		s .		The second state of		s -			STATE OF THE PARTY	\$ .	\$ 1,000.00		\$ 1,0
Travel & Training	\$ 1,000.00			\$ 1,000.00								s .	\$ 34,165.0	0	SECTION SE	\$ 34,165.00	\$ 15,395.0			\$ 15,395.00				\$ -	\$ 49,560.00		\$ 49.56
oan Reserves	\$ -					DESCRIPTION OF STREET		•				\$ .	\$ 11,000.0		Washington Tally	\$ 11,000.00		- SKETT		\$ -	HENDRE LEDIN			\$ .	\$ 11,000.00	\$ -	\$ 11,0
Bond Counsel & Related Costs				\$ .				•				s .		THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	s .		No. No. of the least of the lea		\$ -				\$ .	\$ .	\$ -	\$
	A-MARINE			\$ 15.438.80	\$ 6,716.31	\$ 6.385.56	\$ 330.75		s .		s -		\$ 54,665.0	os -	s .	\$ 54,665.00	\$ 15,395.0	) \$ -	\$ .	\$ 15,395.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ .	\$ .	\$ 111,693.31	\$ 26,194.51	\$ 85,4
otal Administrative Costs	\$ 28,917.00	\$ 13,478.2	0 \$ -	\$ 15,438.80	\$ 6,716.31	\$ 6,385.00	\$ 330.75								***************************************				***************************************								
ACTIVITY COSTS:				s -		T	T	s -				s -			TO COMMON	\$ .			Section 1	\$ .	\$ 1,000.25	\$ 1,000.25		\$ -	\$ 1,000.25		
Easement Support	\$ 26,537,39	0.00507.0		s -	6 25 025 27	\$ 35,035.37		\$ .				s .				\$ .				\$ .				\$ .	\$ 61,572.76		
Preliminary Design	\$ 26,537.39	\$ 20,537.3	9		\$ 35,035.37	\$ 35,035.37		s .							SIMILES OF	N. State State of			No.		\$ 8,750.38	\$ 8,750.38		\$ .	\$ 8,750.38		-
Phased Project Design (LS Design & Bidding)	2 2000.04			6 5000.64	0 25 554 57	\$ 35,551.57		ς .			V Comments	s .	FOR BUILDING			\$ .		A Succession of the		\$ .				\$ -	\$ 43,418.18	\$ 37,487.57	\$ 5,9
inal Design Construction Engineering (CN Management &	\$ 7,866.61	\$ 1,936.0	0	\$ 5,930.61	\$ 35,551.57	\$ 35,551.57		•						NAME OF THE PARTY OF	AUS del Con		WINNESS TO		THE PERSON NAMED IN				STATE OF THE STATE				
Construction Engineering (CN Management & Post Construction)	\$ 43,000,00	\$ 10,556.9	3	\$ 32,443.07	\$ 1,635.39		s 1,635,39	\$ .				\$ -	\$ 2,000.0	10		\$ 2,000.00		<b>EUREAUS</b>		\$ .	\$ 16,400.00	\$ 16,400.00	Resemble	\$ .	\$ 63,035.39		
Resident Project Representative (RPR)		\$ 9,185.9		\$ .				\$ .					\$ 55,814.0	14							\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00		\$ .	\$ 85,000.00		
Permitting & DEQ Coordination	\$ 22,149.50			\$ 0.50	\$ 1,027.50	\$ 1,027.50		\$ -		Service of the service of		\$ -				\$ .				\$ .		\$ 5,850.00	Case San Line	\$ .	\$ 29,027.00		
Construction - Lift Station	\$ 3,361,65		\$ 3,361.65		\$ 8.033.86		\$ 8,033,86	s -	MERCE												\$ 196,862.12	\$ 171,617.15	\$ 25,244.97	\$ .		\$ 208,257.63	
Construction - Treatment	\$ 338,494.04		0 0,001.00	\$ 338,494,04	-			s .	\$ 166,200.00			\$ 166,200.00	\$ 386,320.9	6		\$ 386,320.96	\$ 86,013.0	0	STATE STATE	\$ 86,013.00				\$ .	\$ 977,028.00	\$ -	\$ 977,0
Construction - Packaged Treatment System	\$ 238,000.00		10	\$ 178,500.00				\$ -				\$ -				\$ -				\$ -				\$ .	\$ 238,000,00	\$ 59,500.00	
DEQ Discharge Application Fee	200,000.00	00,000.0		s -		s 12,000.00		s -		HERETON		\$ .			CANCEL SERVICE	\$ .				\$ -		A CHARLES		\$ .	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00	
GWE Advertising Reimbursement		Dec 19 Const	TO THE RESERVED	\$ .				s -		THE SERVE					Name of the last				CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		\$ 137.25	\$ 137.25		\$ .	\$ 137.25	The second secon	
	\$ 2487.85	PACKET COM		\$ 2,487.85	s .			s .		THE STATE OF		\$ .				\$ .	\$ 116,592.0			\$ 116,592.00				\$ -	\$ 119,079.85	\$	
ontingency otal Activity Costs		\$ 129.865.2	8 \$ 3,361.65			\$ 83,614.44	4 \$ 9,669.25	s -	\$ 166,200.00	\$	\$ .	\$ 166,200.00	\$ 444,135.0	00 \$ -	\$ .	\$ 444,135.00	\$ 202,605.0	0 \$ -	\$ .	\$ 202,605.00	\$ 249,000.00	\$ 223,755.03	\$ 25,244.97	\$	\$ 1,846,306.69	\$ 475,510.62	\$ 1,370,7
									6 460 000 00			\$ 166,200.00	\$ 498,800.0	00 \$ .		\$ 498 800 00	\$ 218,000.0	o s .	s .	\$ 218,000.00	\$ 255,000.00	\$ 229,755.03	\$ 25,244.97	s .	\$ 1,958,000.00	\$ 501,705,13	\$ 1,456,
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$ 720,000.00	\$ 143,343.4	8 \$ 3,361.65			\$ 90,000.00	\$ 10,000.00		\$ 166,200.00		\$ -	\$ 100,200.00	490,000.0			\$ -	210,000.0			s -				\$ 255,000.00		25.6%	harden a
				\$ 146,705.13				\$ 100,000.0																	KONTO EN EN	THE NAME OF THE OWNER,	(68 N.S.
niblank2.xls						and the second					the second second		-												THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON	